

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,005	09/26/2007	Paul Teichert	6495-0453WOUS	6196
35301 MCCORMICE	7590 07/19/201 C. PAULDING & HUB		EXAM	IINER
CITY PLACE II 185 ASYLUM STREET HARITFORD, CT 06103			QUINN, COLLEEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
makii oab,	C1 00105		3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@ip-lawyers.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/585,005
 TEICHERT, PAUL

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 COLLEEN M. QUINN
 3634

interview Summary						
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	COLLEEN M. QUINN	3634				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>COLLEEN M. QUINN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Sergio Chung</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 13 July 2011.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>88 and 101</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Dudley (US 860,359)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS, FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/COLLEEN M QUINN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3634	/Katherine Mitchell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3634				

### Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application written or not an agreement with the examinent was reached at the interview.

# Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be field by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1 135 (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademak Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademak Office is uncesses. The action of the Patent and trademak Office is uncesses. The action of the Patent and trademak Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promise, stputiation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

his the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate. the Form should be mailed ormoful what the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application like. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
  - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 10/585,005

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Sergio Chang, faxed in some talking points (attached) for the interview discussion. After review of the the remarks the examiner noted that she did not believe that amending claims 88 or 96 to recite the arms extend "radially" outward from the frame, as suggested by Mr. Chang would over come the prior art; for two reasons-one being that Dudley teaches raidially extending arms and two being that it would be a stretch to refer to the arms in the applicant's invnetion as "radially" extending, since they more or less travel along the side of the frame. The examiner suggested considering claim language directed the strucutural and spacial relationship between the alignment arrangement and the first endless frame- such the the alignment arrangement being coupled above and configured to extend laterally outward, beyond the perimeter of the first endless frame- or language similar to that which ould describe the elements and their relation to one another. Additionally the examiner the Mr. Chung briefly discussed claim 101 and the recition of the "belts". The examiner noted

Additionally the examiner the Mr. Chung onenly discussed daim 101 and the rectition of the 'Deits'. The examiner noted that tensioning or alignment "belts" could come in many different forms- for examples, leather or rubber straps; chains, links, any flexible chain of connected elements or cable. The examiner and applicant's representative agreed that if he felt the need to further persue this claim more focus in claim language might have to be paid to the belts and how and where they are attached and set up with respect to the frame so as to better differentiate from the belts in Dudley. Mr. Chang agreed to consider points discussed before submitting any formal written reply to the Office. Examiner thanks Mr. Chang for the interieve and his prepared notes which helped focus the time used.